



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/008,423	11/13/2001	Andrew R. Ferlitsch	10237.12	3540
65400.	7590	06/12/2007	EXAMINER	
KIRTON & MCCONKIE 1800 EAGLE GATE TOWER / 60 EAST SOUTH TEMPLE P.O. BOX 45120 SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84145-0120			TIV, BACKHEAN	
		ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER
		2151		
		MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE
		06/12/2007		PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/008,423	FERLITSCH, ANDREW R.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Backhean Tiv	2151

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 3/29/07.
 2a) This action is **FINAL**. 2b) This action is non-final.
 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

4) Claim(s) 12-17,20,21,24-29 and 33-49 is/are pending in the application.
 4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-11,18,19,22,23 and 30-32 is/are withdrawn from consideration.
 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
 6) Claim(s) 12-17,20,21,24-29 and 33-49 is/are rejected.
 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
 10) The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.
 Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
 Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
 a) All b) Some * c) None of:
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____
3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____	6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____

Detailed Action

Claims 12-17, 20-21, 24-29, 33-49 are pending in this application. Claims 1-11, 18-19, 22-23, 30-32 have been cancelled. Claims 40-49 are new claims added in the RCE filed on 3/29/07.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 12-17, 20-21, 24-27, 34-39 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

As per claims 12-17, 20-21, 24-27, 34-39, recites the limitation "distributively managing the print job and a prioritization", it is unclear whether the first client computer device or one of the other client computer devices is distributively managing the print job and prioritization.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 12-17, 20-21, 24-29, 33,35-49 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US Patent 6,498,656 issued to Mastie in view of US Publication 2002/0001495 issued to Mochizuki.

As per claims 12, 28,40, Mastie teaches a system that includes client computer devices connected to a printing device via a network, a method for managing a print job without use of a print server(Abstract), the method comprising:

a network;

a printing device connected to the network;

a local print queue local to the individual client computer device corresponding to the printing device and containing print jobs generated by the individual client computer device;

initiating a print job at a first client computer device, wherein the first client computer device is one of the client computer devices connected to the printing device via the network, and wherein no print server is connected to the network(Abstract, Fig.5, col.1, lines 38-65);

distributively managing the print job and a prioritization of the print job until print of the first client computer device sends the print job to the printing device, wherein the distributively managing the print job and a prioritization of the print job(col.2, lines 34-65);

and sending the print job from the first client computer device to the printing device after an event(col.2, line 64-col.3, line 25) .

Art Unit: 2151

Mastie however does not explicitly teach broadcasting an intent to send the print job from the first client computer device to the printing device, wherein the intent is broadcast from the first client computer device to a plurality of the client computer devices connected to the printing device via the network without including the print job in the broadcast intent; determining whether a response is received by the first client computer device from one or more of the plurality of the client computer devices; receiving no response at the first client computer device; and receiving a response at the first client computer device from at least one of the plurality of the client computer devices, followed by receiving a permission to send the print job to the printing device at the first client computer device from the at least one of the plurality of the client computer devices; a response from a second client computer device indicating that the second client computer device is managing sending of print jobs to the printing device, wherein the response includes one of: an indication that the second client computer device has no objection to the first client computer device sending the first print job to the printing device; an objection to and denial of the immediate sending of the first print job to the printing device by the first client computer device; and an indication that a conflict must be resolved in order to permit the first client computer device to send the first print job to the printing device..

Mochizuki teaches broadcasting an intent to send the print job from the first client computer device to the printing device, wherein the intent is broadcast from the first client computer device to a plurality of the client computer devices connected to the printing device via the network without including the print job in the broadcast

intent(para 0041, broadcast or mulicast printer retrieval packet to retrieval an active printer from the network);

determining whether a response is received by the first client computer device from one or more of the plurality of the client computer devices(para.0043);
receiving no response at the first client computer device(para.0043); and
receiving a response at the first client computer device from at least one of the plurality of the client computer devices, followed by receiving a permission to send the print job to the printing device at the first client computer device from the at least one of the plurality of the client computer devices(para.0043).

a response from a second client computer device indicating that the second client computer device is managing sending of print jobs to the printing device, wherein the response includes one of: an indication that the second client computer device has no objection to the first client computer device sending the first print job to the printing device; an objection to and denial of the immediate sending of the first print job to the printing device by the first client computer device; and an indication that a conflict must be resolved in order to permit the first client computer device to send the first print job to the printing device(para0041-0043)

Therefore it would have been obvious to one ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the teachings of Mastie to explicitly broadcast an intent to other network devices and to receive responses from those network devices as taught by Mochizuki in order to provide a system to select an available printer on the network for a print job(Mochizuki, para.0002,0006).

One ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention would have been motivated to combine the teachings of Mastie and Mochizuki in order to provide a system to effectively manage and operate print requests(Mochizuki, para.0006).

As per claim 13, wherein the step for initiating includes the step for determining whether to perform cluster printing, and wherein if the cluster printing is to be performed, utilizing the printing device in performing the cluster printing (Mastie, fig. 3, Mochizuki, para 000043). Motivation set forth in claim 12.

As per claim 14, wherein the step for initiating includes the step for determining whether to perform intelligent routing, and wherein if the intelligent routing is to be performed, utilizing the printing device in performing the intelligent routing (Mastie, fig. 3, Mochizuki, para 000043). Motivation set forth in claim 12.

As per claim 15, wherein the step for receiving includes the step for determining whether the first response includes a conflict for despooling the print data to the printing device, and wherein if the conflict is included in the first response, performing the step for resolving the conflict (Mastie, col. 7 L47 to col. 8 L6 and fig. 3, Mochizuki, para 000043). Motivation set forth in claim 12.

As per claim 16, a system for determining whether the first response includes an objection to despooling the print data to the printing device, and wherein if the objection is included in the first response, performing the step for resolving the objection (Mastie, col. 7 L47 to col. 8 L6 and fig. 3, Mochizuki, para 000043). Motivation set forth in claim 12.

Art Unit: 2151

As per claim 17, wherein distributively managing the print job comprises: if no response to the broadcast is received, using the first client computer device to manage the print job (Mastie, col. 7 L47 to col. 8 L6 and fig. 3, Mochizuki, para 000043).

Motivation set forth in claim 12.

As per claims 20, a method as recited in claim 12, wherein the print job is a first print job, and wherein said distributively managing the print job further comprises: utilizing a second broadcast of an intent to send a second print job to the printing device to determine which of the client computer devices shall be used to manage the second print job; and ordering the print jobs on a print queue containing information about the first and second print jobs but not the first and second print jobs themselves(Mastie col.7, lines 47-col.8, lines 6, Mochizuki, para.0040-0042.

Therefore it would have been obvious to one ordinary skill in the art to send multiple broadcast intent in order to provide a system to print more than document.

One ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention would have been motivated to combine the teachings of Mastie and Mochizuki in order to provide a system to print multiple documents.

As per claim 21, the system wherein distributivly managing the print job is enabled by at least one of a print driver; a print assistant and the spooler (Mastie, fig. 1-2c and col. 1 L23 to col. 2 L33, Mochizuki, para 000043). Motivation set forth in claim 12.

As per claim 24, wherein said sending the first print job from the first client computer device to the printing device further includes setting a status of the print job on

the print queue(Mastie, Fig.3, col.7, lines 47-60, Mochizuki, para.006-0014). Motivation set forth in claim 12.

As per claim 25, wherein data said sending the first print job from the first client computer device to the printing device further includes removing a remote entry of the first print job from a remote print queue containing a copy of said information about the first and second print jobs but not the first and second print jobs themselves(Mastie, col. 5 L22-67 and fig. 3, Mochizuki, para 000043). Motivation set forth in claim 12.

As per claim 26, wherein if the print data corresponding to the print job is in a printer ready format, the sending the first print job from the first client computer device to the printing device further includes using a print processor of the first client computer device to send the print data to a port manager of the first client computer device(Mastie, col. 4 L1-21, Mochizuki, para 000043). Motivation set forth in claim 12.

As per claim 27, wherein if print data corresponding to the print job is in a journaled format, the step for sending the first print job from the first client computer device to the printing device further includes: using a print processor of the first client computer device to play back the journaled data to a printer driver of the first client computer device; spooling the print data to a spooler of the first client computer device; and sending the print data to a port manager of the first client computer device(Mastie, col. 3 L61 to col. 4 L67, Mochizuki, para 000043). Motivation set forth in claim 12.

As per claim 29,41,42,43 wherein said distributively managing the print job further comprises: when a response to the broadcast intent is received by the first client computer performing the steps of: determining whether the response includes a conflict

Art Unit: 2151

from the one or more of the plurality of client computer devices to print data send the print job to the printing device, wherein if the conflict is included in the response, resolving the conflict(Mastie, col. 7 L47 to col. 8 L6 and fig. 3); and determining whether the response includes an objection from the one or more of the plurality of client computer devices to send the print job to the printing device, wherein if the objection is included in the response, resolving the objection(Mastie, col. 7 L47 to col. 8 L6 and fig. 3); and if no response to the broadcast intent is received, using the first client computer device to manage the print job(Mastie, col. 7 L47 to col. 8 L6 and fig. 3, Mochizuki, para 000043). Motivation set forth in claim 12.

As per claim 33, whereina broadcast message is used to perform at least one of: registering one of the plurality of client computer devices for distributed management of print jobs; indicating an intent to despool the print job; setting a status of a despoled print job; obtaining a status of a despoled print job; setting a status of the printing device; obtaining a status of the printing device; requesting print queue information; and requesting a print queue change(Mastie, col. 7 L47-60, Mochizuki, para.006-0014). Motivation set forth in claim 12.

As per claim 35, wherein a broadcast is used to indicate an intent to despool the print job(Matie, col.5, lines 45-61, Mochizuki, para.006-0014). Motivation set forth in claim 12.

As per claim 36, wherein a broadcast is used to set or check a status of a despoled print job(Mastie, col. 7 L47-60, Mochizuki, para.006-0014). Motivation set forth in claim 12.

As per claim 37, wherein a broadcast is used to set or get a status of the printing device(Mastie, col. 7 L47-60, Mochizuki, para.006-0014). Motivation set forth in claim 12.

As per claim 38,47 wherein a broadcast is used to request print queue information(Mastie, Fig.3, col.7, lines 47-60, Mochizuki, para.006-0014). Motivation set forth in claim 12.

As per claim 39,48 wherein a broadcast is used to request a print queue change(Mastie, col. 7 L47-60, Mochizuki, para.006-0014). Motivation set forth in claim 12.

As per claim 44, wherein the local print queue of a managing client computer device contains entries corresponding to, but not containing, print jobs of other client computer devices(Mochizuki, para. 0041-42). Motivation set forth in claim 12.

As per claim 45, wherein the local print queue of the second client computer device includes an entry for a second print job to be printed on the printing device and the second client computer device is configured to manage the printing of the first and second print jobs by: evaluating what type of response should be sent to the broadcast intent for the first print job; and sending a response to the first client computer device, the response selected from the group of: an indication of no objection when the second print job is of a lower priority than the first print job; an objection and denial when the second print job is of a higher priority than the first print job; an objection and denial when the second print job is currently being sent to the printing device; and an indication of a conflict when the second print job and the first print job have equal

priority(Mastie, col. 7 L47 to col. 8 L6 and fig. 3, Mochizuki, para 000043). Motivation set forth in claim 12.

As per claim 46, wherein the first client computer device is configured to send the first print job to the printing device when no response to the broadcast intent is received, when a response indicating no objection is received, when a response indicating an objection is received and the objection is resolved, and when a response indicating a conflict is received and the conflict is resolved((Mochizuki, para. 0041-42). Motivation set forth in claim 12.

As per claim 49, wherein the system for distributively managing the sending of print jobs further comprises a broadcast message requesting administrative authority(Mochizuki, para. 0041-42). Motivation set forth in claim 12.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US Patent 6,498,656 issued to Mastie in view of US Publication 2002/0001495 issued to Mochizuki in further view of US Publication 2003/0160993 issued to Kang.

As per claim 34, Mastie in view of Mochizuki does not disclose the process wherein the broadcast message is used to register a client computer device for distributed management of print jobs.

Kang, from the same field of endeavor discloses the process of registering a client device for distributed management of print jobs (pg. 3 [0034] and fig. 2).

Therefore it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Mastie in view Mochizuki in futher view of Kang in order to register client computer devices for distributed management of print jobs.

One of ordinary skilled in the art would have been motivated because it would have enabled management of the print queues (Kang, [0034]).

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 12-17, 20-21, 24-29, 33-49 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. See PTO-892.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Backhean Tiv whose telephone number is (571) 272-5654. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 7-3:30.

Art Unit: 2151

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Zarni Maung can be reached on (571) 272-3939. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.


Backhean Tiv
2151
6/5/07


ZARNI MAUNG
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER